



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Robert L. Burr, et al.  
 Serial No. : 07/112,111  
 Filed : February 17, 1989  
 For : TICKET DISPENSING MACHINE AND METHOD  
 Art Unit : 311-239

3390-2030  
 RECEIVED  
 SEP 27 1990

SEP 26 AM 11:19  
 GROUP 310

230 6/4 GROUP 230  
 23X 030  
 A.U. 230  
 Weinhardt

530 Fifth Avenue  
 New York, New York 10036  
 (212) 840-3333

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
 Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
 Washington, D.C. 20231, on September 7, 1990

Gregor N. Neff  
 Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered Representative  
 Signature  
 September 7, 1990  
 Date of Signature

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

September 7, 1990

STATUS LETTER

Sir:

We have not yet received an Official Action in the above-identified patent application. Please advise us of the status of this patent application.

The purpose of this inquiry is to make certain that, if an Official Action has been sent, but not yet received by the undersigned attorney, we can obtain a copy of any such action and respond to it in a timely fashion.

Respectfully submitted,

Gregor N. Neff  
 Registration No. 20,586  
 Curtis, Morris & Safford  
 Attorneys for Applicant  
 (212) 840-3333

ON6\2030STA.97

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark OfficeAddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231 33902030

07/312,111 02/17/99 BURR

BOLLINGER, D

GREGOR N. NEFF  
C/O CURTIS, MORRIS & SAFFORD  
530 FIFTH AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10036

311

02/11/99  
3

This application is in compliance with the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 133.

☒ This application has been examined ☐ Response to communication filed on \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), \_\_\_\_\_ days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

## Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.        | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-848.                   |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1448.                        | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. |
| 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____  |

## Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-49 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims \_\_\_\_\_ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ have been cancelled.

3. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are allowed.

4. ☒ Claims 1-10, 13, 14, 16-28, 34-37, 41, 42, 46, 48 & 49 are rejected.

5. ☒ Claims 11, 12, 15, 29-33, 38-40, 43-45 & 47 are objected to.

6. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

7. ☒ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.

9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on \_\_\_\_\_. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-848).

10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner. ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_, has been ☐ approved. ☐ disapproved (see explanation).

12. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received  
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_\_\_\_; filed on \_\_\_\_\_.

13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 463 O.G. 213.

14. ☐ Other

## EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-528 (Rev. 8-88)

Serial No. 312,111

-2-

Art Unit 311

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

2. Claims 23, 24, 36, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves.

Groves teaches a article vending machine comprising: a housing 20; display means (unnumbered) for display of the types of articles available; means 50 for receiving and accepting a means of monetary exchange; and means for dispensing the articles in a number corresponding to the amount of money input to the machine. Further, the arrays of articles in Groves comprise a web of articles separated at intervals by lines of perforations and that such articles may be a variety of articles.

Groves fails to teach the articles being lottery tickets and providing the machine with a message display advertising the articles.

Serial No. 312,111

-3-

Art Unit 311

The specific articles being lottery tickets in considered an obvious matter of choice and the provision of advertising displays on a vending machine is notoriously well known in the art.

3. Claims 1-8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 22, 25-28, 37, 41, 42 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves as applied to claims 23, 24, 36, 46 and 48 above, and further in view of Knee '935.

Groves fails to teach the display means being windows past which the articles are transported to be viewed while dispensing. Groves further fails to teach separating means for separating the articles from one another.

Knee '935 teaches display means comprising a window allowing for viewing of the articles and their movement for dispensing. Knee '935 also teaches providing separating means comprising bursting means 51, 54, 65 to insure positive separation of articles from one another.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ windows to view the articles as the display means in Groves and to provide separating means in Groves to insure positive separation of the articles from one another for dispensing.

4. Claims 9, 19 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Knee '935 as applied to

Serial No. 312,111

-4-

Art Unit 311

claims 1-8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 22, 25-28, 37, 41, 42 and 49 above, and further in view of Awane et al.

Groves in view of Knee '935 fails to show the monetary exchange means selected from currency detector and a credit card reader and the specific means for receiving and accepting the monetary exchange means.

Awane et al teaches providing a vending machine with means for receiving and accepting currency having means to display the amount of credit due the customer and reducing the amount due the customer corresponding to the number of articles dispensed.

In view of the teachings of Awane et al, it would have been obvious to one having to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the vending machine of Groves with means for receiving and accepting a monetary exchange means having display means to display the credit due a customer.

5. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Knee '935 as applied to claims 1-8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 22, 25-28, 37, 41, 42 and 49 above, and further in view of O'Neil et al.

Groves in view of Knee '935 fails to teach providing a bar code on the articles and a bar code reader positioned to read the bar code as they are dispensed.

O'Neil et al teaches providing in a vending machine a bar code 102 on each article to be vended to provide information with

Serial No. 312,111

-5-

Art Unit 311

regard<sup>to</sup> the article and a bar coded reader positioned within the machine to read the bar code as the articles are vended.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Groves vending machine with bar codes on the articles and bar code readers to provide information regarding the articles as they are dispensed.

6. Claims 21 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Knee '935 as applied to claims 1-8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 22, 25-28, 37, 41, 42 and 49 above, and further in view of Cedrone et al.

Groves in view of Knee' 935 fails to teach providing a plurality of vending machines and communicating data regarding operation to a central location.

Cedrone et al teaches providing a group of vending machines and communicating data regarding operation of the machine to a central location.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide plural machines of Groves-Knee '935 and communicate data regarding their operation to a central location in view of the teaching of Cedrone et al.

7. Claims 11, 12, 15, 29-33, 38-40, 43-45 and 47 are objected to as being dependant upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Serial No. 312,111

-6-

Art Unit 311

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Bollinger at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Bollinger:dds  
January 22, 1991

*David H. Bollinger*  
David H. Bollinger 2/8/91  
Patent Examiner  
Art Unit 311

TO SEPARATE, HOLD TOP AND BOTTOM EDGES, SNAP-APART AND DISCARD CARBON

FORM PTO-892 (REV. 3-78)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		SERIAL NO. <b>312111</b>	GROUP/ART UNIT <b>311</b>	ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NUMBER <b>3</b>	
NOTICE OF REFERENCES CITED				APPLICANT(S) <b>Burr</b>			
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
•	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE	
A	1813935	7-1971	Knee	221	25	—	
B	3047347	7-1962	Groves	221	25X	—	
C	4706794	11-1987	Awane et al	221	2X	—	
D	4766548	8-1988	Cedrone et al	221	9X	—	
E	4812629	3-1989	O'Neil et al	221	13X	—	
F							
G							
H							
I							
J							
K							
FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
•	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	PERTINENT SPTS.   PP. DWO   SPEC.
L							
M							
N							
O							
P							
Q							
OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)							
R							
S							
T							
U							
EXAMINER <b>D. Bollinger</b>				DATE <b>1/11/91</b>			
* A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this office action. (See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, section 707.05 (a).)							





PATENT  
3390-2030

GROUP 310

#4 Ext. of (1 mo)  
RECEIVED  
JUL -5 PM 3:01  
7-9-91

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Robert L. Burr et al.  
Serial No. : 07/312,111  
Filed : February 17, 1989  
For : TICKET DISPENSING MACHINE AND METHOD  
Group No. : 311

530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 840-3333

June 24, 1991

I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being deposited with the United States  
Postal Service as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231, on June 24, 1991

Gregor M. Neff, Esq.  
Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered  
Representative

*Gregor M. Neff*  
Signature

June 24, 1991  
Date of signature

THE PTO DID NOT RECEIVE THE REQUIRED  
FEE (100.00) AND 150.00  
S210.00 and 150.00

LETTER

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

FEE APPLIED under 37 CFR 1.136(a)  
EXTENSION OF TIME GRANTED  
TO 7-11-91  
*W. Anthony*  
CLERK, GROUP 310

Enclosed is a Petition to Extend and an extra claims  
fee calculation sheet for the above-identified patent  
application. These documents were inadvertently omitted from the  
package including the amendment mailed June 17, 1991. Please


P 30214 07/02/91 07/312111 03-3925 030 150.00CH

place these items in the file and enter them with the amendment of that date.

Checks for the added claims fee and the extension fee accompanied the original amendment.

Also enclosed is a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement, copies the cited references, and a PTO Form 1449 list of the references cited. Please enter these items in this patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Gregor N. Neff  
Registration No. 20,596  
Attorney for Applicant

c:\wp51\ltr\pto



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Robert L. Burr, et al.  
Serial No.: 07,312,111  
Filed : February 17, 1989  
For : TICKET DISPENSING MACHINE AND METHOD  
Art Unit : 2311

PATENT  
3390-2030

RECEIVED  
JUN -5 PM 3:01  
JUN 27 1991

530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 840-3333

I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being deposited with the United States  
Postal Service as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to:  
Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231, on JUN 26, 1991

Gregor M. Neff  
Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered  
Representative  
Signature  
June 26, 1991  
Date of Signature

Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

June 24, 1991

PETITION TO EXTEND UNDER 37 CFR 1.17(b)


Sir:

Under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a), applicant respectfully requests the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks for an extension of time to file the response due May 11, 1991, in the above-identified application.

The requested extension of time is two months, i.e. to July 11, 1991, and applicant encloses herewith a check in the amount of \$150.00 in payment of the statutory fee therefor. Please

charge any additional fees or credit any excess to our Deposit  
Account No. 03-3925.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Gregor N. Neff  
Registration No. 20,556  
Curtis, Morris & Safford  
Attorneys for Applicant  
(212) 840-3333

Enclosures

GN3\3314-2010PET.620



Patent  
3390-2030

#5  
7-9-91

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Robert L. Burr, et al.  
Serial No : 07/312,111  
Filed: : February 17, 1989  
For : TICKET DISPENSING MACHINE AND METHOD

530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 840-3333

I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being deposited with the United States  
Postal Service as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231, on JUN 26, 1991

Gregor H. Neff, Esq.  
Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered  
Representative  
Gregor H. Neff  
Signature  
June 24, 1991  
Date of Signature

June 24, 1991

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The following prior art references are hereby called to  
the attention of the Examiner:

Fitzgerald, 2,865,699. Fitzgerald shows a manually-  
operated stamp vending machine which vends two different kinds of

stamps. The relevant portion of the disclosure is Figures 1 and 2 of the drawings and column 2, lines 10 through 43.

Webb, 2,457,750 shows a ticket storage and dispensing unit in which tickets are held against removal by manually-releasable "sprags". In particular, see Figure 3 of the drawings and column 2, lines 3 through 33 and column 4, line 64 through line 75; and column 5, lines 40 through 43.

Kostka et al., 4,140,259 shows a coin-operated vending machine in which the ticket is driven forward by a reciprocating mechanism which inserts a pin through a hole in the ticket strip to drive the ticket forward. The ticket is clamped and held by a mechanism shown near reference numeral 108 in Figure 2. In particular, see Figures 1, 2 and 6. and column 3, line 50 to column 4, line 61.

Arp et al., 3,935,978, shows a manually-operated ticket dispenser. The unit allows an agent to pull as many tickets as desired from the machine. A frictional mechanism shown in Figure 3 tends to hold the tickets in the machine. See, in particular Figures 2 and 5 and column 4, line 20 to column 5, line 10.

Wescoat, 1,094,451, shows a lottery ticket dispenser which bends the ticket in reverse directions prior to cutting the tickets free from the strip to dispense them. See, in particular, Figure 1 of the drawings and column 1, line 55 through column 2, line 24.


Horniak, 4,272,001, shows a ticket dispenser in which pulling on the tickets when the power to the dispensing unit is turned off causes the tickets to be gripped more firmly to hinder

them from being pulled out. In particular, see the abstract in Figure 3 of the drawings.

Herring, 4,157,670, shows a ticket vending device in which a ticket is bent over before being cut free from the remaining tickets by means of a cutting blade. In particular, see the abstract, Figure 2 of the drawings, and column 4, lines 30-68 and column 6, lines 5-27.

It is respectfully requested that the enclosed references be made of record in the above-identified patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Gregor N. Neff  
Registration No. 20,596  
Curtis, Morris & Safford, P.C.  
Attorneys for Applicants  
530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 840-3333

Enclosures

C:\WP51\FORMLTR

Patent

*Part of #5*  
Sheet 1 of 1

Based on Form PTO-1449 (3/90)

LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT (Indicate several sheets if necessary)

**MAIL ROOM JUN 27 1991**

ATTY. DOCKET NO. 3390-2030

SERIAL NO. 07/312,111

APPLICANT Robert L. Burr, et al.

FILING DATE February 17, 1989

GROUP 311

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE C/F APPROPRIATE
DHB	AA 2,865,699	December 20, 1954	W.B. Fitzgerald	1	1	1
DHB	AB 2,657,750	November 3, 1953	C.F. Webb	1	1	1
DHB	AC 4,140,259	February 20, 1979	Kostka et al.	1	1	1
DHB	AD 3,935,978	February 3, 1976	Arp et al.	1	1	1
DHB	AE 4,094,451	June 13, 1978	Wescoet	1	1	1
DHB	AF 4,272,001	June 9, 1981	Horniak	1	1	1
DHB	AG 4,157,670	June 12, 1979	Herring	1	1	1
	AH					
	AI					
	AJ					
	AK					

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION	
						YES	NO
	AL						
	AM						
	AN						
	AO						
	AP						

**OTHER PRIOR ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)**

AR			
AS			
AT			

*D. Bollinger 9/13/91*



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADE MARK OFFICE

OP 311

In re application of: Robert L. Burr et al.

Serial No.: 07/312,111

Filed: February 17, 1989

For: TICKET DISPENSING MACHINE AND METHOD



## AMENDMENT

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
BUREAU OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231RECEIVED  
JUL -5 PM 3:04  
GROUP 310

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

☐ No additional fee is required.☒ The fee has been calculated as shown below.☒ This is an application of a small entity under 37 CFR 1.9(f), and the amounts shown in parentheses apply.

CLAIMS IS AMENDED							
(1)	(2) Claims remaining after amendment	(3)	(4) Highest number previously paid for	(5) Present extra	(6) Rate	(7) Add'l fee	
Total Claims	*49	minus	49	=	0	X	\$20(10) = 0
Independent Claims	*12	minus	5	=	7	X	\$60(30) = 210.00
						0	
						Total additional fee for this amendment	
						210.00	

\* If the entry in Column 2 is less than the entry in Column 4, write "0" in Column 5.

\*\* If the highest number of total claims previously paid for is less than 20, write "20" in this space.  
\*\*\* If the highest number of independent claims previously paid for is less than 3, write "3" in this space.☐ This application contains a multiple dependent claim. The required fee of \$200(100) has been previously paid ☐ or is paid herewith ☐.☒ This response is being filed within the ☐ first month, ☒ second month, ☐ third month, ☐ fourth month following the expiration of the term originally set therefor, and the fee of ☐ \$100 (50), ☐ \$300 (150), ☒ \$730 (365), ☐ \$1150 (575) for the requisite extension is due and ☐ paid herewith.☒ Check in the amount of \$150.00 and \$210.00 are attached.☐ Charge \$  to Deposit Account No. 03-3925.☒ Please charge any additional fees incurred by reason of this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 03-3925. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.CLINTIS, MORRIS & SAFFORD, P.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)By Gregory H. Morris  
Reg. No. 20,596  
(212) 840-3333

#6

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Page 1, line 6, after "Fulton", insert --now U.S.

Patent No. 4,982,137--;

Page 2, line 8, change "are" to --is--;

IN THE CLAIMS:

Rewrite Claims 1, 3, 6, 10, 11, 16, 23-25, 29, 32, 38, 41, 43, and 45-47 as follows:

1. (Amended) A ticket dispensing machine, comprising, in combination, a housing, at least one window in said housing through which tickets inside said housing can be seen but not touched by a person outside said housing, a dispensing outlet in said housing, [moving] electrically powered means for moving a continuous strip [an array] of tickets past said window, and [means] for dispensing through said outlet a pre-determined number of said tickets to an operator of said machine, and protective means for deterring the operator of the machine from withdrawing from said machine more than said pre-determined number of tickets.

3. (Amended) A machine as in Claim [5] 1 [in which said tickets are attached together in a continuous strip when they move past said window, and] [including separating means for separating said tickets from one another before they are dispensed.

5. (Amended). A machine as in Claim 1 in which said [tickets are instant winner lottery tickets.] dispensing outlet

a4 is accessible to an operator in a position to see the tickets  
behind said window.

b20. (Amended) A machine as in Claim 1 in which said  
[dispensing] ~~protective~~ <sup>dispensing</sup> means includes means for barring a person  
from grasping any ticket before it is dispensed through said  
outlet.

Q5 31. (Amended) [A machine as in Claim 1] A ticket  
dispensing machine, comprising, in combination, a housing, at  
least one window in said housing through which tickets inside  
said housing can be seen but not touched by a person outside said  
housing, a dispensing outlet in said housing, moving means for  
moving an array of tickets past said window, and means for  
dispensing through said outlet a pre-determined number of tickets  
to an operator of said machine including bar-code reading means  
mounted adjacent the path of travel by said tickets for reading a  
bar code from said tickets and transmitting to central computer  
means the information so read.

16. (Amended) A ticket vending method, said method  
comprising the steps of:

(a) utilizing electrically powered drive means for  
moving [an array] ~~a strip of mutually-attached~~ tickets past a  
viewing window in a housing in a manner such that the tickets can  
be seen from outside said housing, and

(b) issuing from said housing [the] a pre-determined  
number of tickets from said array which are ordered by an

ab operator, and operating means for deterring said operator from withdrawing more than said number of tickets.

23. (Amended) A lottery ticket vending machine comprising, in combination, a housing display means comprising at least one window in a wall of said housing for displaying an array of lottery ticket representations viewable from outside of said housing by a customer, said array representing tickets in said machine available for purchase, acceptor means for receiving and accepting a means of monetary exchange, [and] means for dispensing said tickets in a number corresponding to the amount of money input into said machine by said customer while moving said array past said window, and limiting means for limiting the number of tickets said customer receives from said machine to said number.

24. (Amended) A machine as in Claim 23 in which said display means comprises a plurality of windows, each being [is] adapted for displaying one of a plurality of arrays of said tickets, and [including] includes means for selecting from among said arrays one array from which tickets are dispensed.

25. (Amended) A machine as in Claim 23 in which [said display means comprises at least one transparent enclosure through which said array can be seen but not touched by said customer, and means for moving said array in said enclosure during the dispensing of said tickets.] said dispensing means includes a dispensing outlet in said one wall of said housing.

137. (Amended) [A machine as in Claim 26] A lottery ticket vending machine comprising, in combination, a housing, display means for displaying an array of lottery ticket representations viewable from outside of said housing by a customer, said array representing tickets in said machine available for purchase, acceptor means for receiving and accepting a means of monetary exchange, and means for dispensing said tickets in a number corresponding to the amount of money input into said machine by said customer, in which said display means comprises at least one transparent window through which said array can be seen but not touched by said customer, and means for moving said array in said enclosure during the dispensing of said tickets, said tickets being formed in a continuous strip with individual tickets delineated from their neighbors by perforations, storage means in said housing for storing a supply of said tickets, feed means for feeding said strip past said window, separator means for receiving said strip, and after passing by said window and separating said tickets from one another, said dispensing means being adapted to dispense one or more tickets separated from said strip including sliding support means for mounting said storage, feed, separator and dispensing means in said housing, said housing having a removable panel and said support means being slidable out of said housing for ease of reloading and service.

172. (Amended) [A machine as in Claim 25] A lottery ticket vending machine comprising, in combination, a housing,

29  
display means for displaying an array of lottery ticket  
representations viewable from outside of said housing by a  
customer, <sup>said</sup> array representing tickets in said machine  
available for purchase, acceptor means for receiving and  
accepting a means of monetary exchange, and means for dispensing  
said tickets in a number corresponding to the amount of money  
input into said machine by said customer, in which said display  
means comprises at least one transparent enclosure through which  
said array can be seen but not touched by said customer, and  
means for moving said array in said enclosure during the  
dispensing of said tickets, including a lamp for illuminating  
said array.

1838. (Amended) [A machine as in Claim 23] A lottery  
 ticket vending machine comprising, in combination, a housing,  
 410  
display means for displaying an array of lottery ticket  
representations viewable from outside of said housing by a  
customer, said array representing tickets in said machine  
available for purchase, acceptor means for receiving and  
accepting a means of monetary exchange, and means for dispensing  
said tickets in a number corresponding to the amount of money  
input into said machine by said customer, in which said display  
means comprises video display means for displaying a plurality of  
arrays of ticket images on a video screen.

41. (Amended) A ticket dispensing machine, said  
 machine comprising, in combination, housing, at least one window  
 in said housing through which tickets inside said housing can be

011  
~~seen but not touched by a person outside said housing, a~~  
 dispensing outlet in said housing, moving means for moving an  
 array of tickets past said window, means for dispensing through  
 said outlet a pre-determined number of tickets to an operator of  
 said machine, said tickets being formed in a continuous strip  
 with individual tickets delineated from their neighbors by  
 perforations, storage means in said housing for storing a supply  
 of said tickets, separator means for receiving said strip after  
 passing by said window and separating said tickets from one  
 another, said dispensing means being adapted to dispense one or  
 more tickets separated from said strip[.], said housing having a  
restricted outlet opening, said outlet opening being positioned  
so that a ticket does not emerge therefrom until after it has  
been separated from said strip, whereby the strip of tickets is  
not easy to reach and pull out of the machine, including a ticket  
receptacle adjacent said outlet opening, said receptacle having  
bifurcated means for holding a dispensing ticket, with a space  
for the insertion of fingers to easily grasp the tickets.

012  
 21 43. (Amended) [A machine as in Claim 41] A ticket  
dispensing machine, said machine comprising, in combination, a  
housing, at least one window in said housing through which  
tickets inside said housing can be seen but not touched by a  
person outside said housing, a dispensing outlet in said housing,  
moving means for moving an array of tickets past said window,  
means for dispensing through said outlet a pre-determined number  
of tickets to an operator of said machine, said tickets being

C12  
 3  
 11  
formed in a continuous strip with individual tickets delineated from their neighbors by perforations. storage means in said housing for storing a supply of said tickets. separator means for receiving said strip after passing by said window and separating said tickets from one another. said dispensing means being adapted to dispense one or more tickets separated from said strip, including sliding support means for mounting said storage, feed, separator and dispensing means in said housing, said housing having a removable panel and said support means being slidable out of said housing for ease of reloading and service.

23 45. (Amended). [A machine as in Claim 41] A ticket dispensing machine, said machine comprising, in combination, housing, at least one window in said housing through which tickets inside said housing can be seen but not touched by a person outside said housing, a dispensing outlet in said housing, moving means for moving an array of tickets past said window, means for dispensing through said outlet a pre-determined number of tickets to an operator of said machine, said tickets being formed in a continuous strip with individual tickets delineated from their neighbors by perforations, storage means in said housing for storing a supply of said tickets, separator means for receiving said strip after passing by said window and separating said tickets from one another, said dispensing means being adapted to dispense one or more tickets separated from said strip, said housing having a front panel, said window comprising a bezel in said front panel, a transparent covering for the front

116



of said bezel, and the back of said bezel being open, and guide means for guiding said strip along said back of said bezel.

~~46. (Amended) A method of dispensing tickets from a machine said method comprising the steps of:~~

- ~~(a) displaying a plurality of arrays of ticket representations, each being visible through a window in said machine, each of said arrays representing tickets available for dispensing from said machine,~~
- ~~(b) selecting tickets from one of said arrays,~~
- ~~(c) dispensing a selected number of said tickets,~~
- ~~[and] while preventing the remaining tickets from being withdrawn from said machine; and~~
- ~~(d) causing the selected array to move to past said window to indicate the dispensing of tickets therefrom.~~

~~24. (Amended). (A method as in Claim 46) A method of dispensing tickets from a machine said method comprising the steps of:~~

- ~~(a) displaying a plurality of arrays of ticket representations, each of said arrays representing tickets available for dispensing from said machine,~~
- ~~(b) selecting tickets from one of said arrays,~~
- ~~(c) dispensing a selected number of said tickets, and~~
- ~~(d) causing the selected array to move to indicate the dispensing of tickets therefrom[.] in which said ticket representations comprise video images of said tickets displayed on a video screen.~~

REMARKS

The specification has been amended to insert the number of the patent granted on the patent application mentioned on pages 1 and 10 of the application, and to correct certain other minor errors. The claims have been amended to place them in better form for allowance.

Before proceeding with the discussion of the patentability of the claims, applicant would like to take this opportunity to call to the Examiner's attention certain prior art references. A copy of each reference and a form PTO 1449 is enclosed listing each of the references.

The first of the enclosed references is the U.S. Patent No. 4,982,337 which is mentioned in the specification on pages 1 and 10. This patent has the relevance mentioned in the specification on pages 1 and 10.

Other references are Schafer 4,858,806; Tigner 4,738,384; and a copy of page 10 of "Public Gaming International" magazine of November, 1988 showing ticket dispensers sold by a company called "Take-A-Ticket-Inc." of Albany, Oregon. It is believed that the dispensers shown in the latter advertisement are similar to those shown in the enclosed Tigner patent 4,738,384.

Each of the above three references shows a instant winner lottery ticket dispenser which is intended to be attended by an agent. Each is designed to dispense tickets from the rear of the unit while the customer faces the unit from the opposite

end. For example, as it is shown in Fig. 6 of the Schafer reference, tickets are issued from slots at 56 and 58 in the rear of the dispensing unit, whereas the customer would view the tickets through the window on the opposite side of the unit.

The devices shown in the three last-named references are not suitable for use as unattended ticket vending devices. They significantly differ from the present invention, in that, if the dispenser device is not attended, a customer would be free to withdraw as many tickets as he or she desired without paying for them.

Applicant's invention provides a stand-alone ticket vending machine in which the customer is deterred from withdrawing more tickets than he or she has paid for.

In particular, the present invention provides a stand-alone unattended ticket vending machine in which a representations of the tickets move past a window during dispensing so that the customer can see the tickets moving while they are being dispensed. This adds interest and excitement, and increases ticket sales. Moreover, the customer can see the tickets themselves clearly before purchase and read relevant information from the tickets themselves, such as the amount to be won (for lottery tickets, etc.), the conditions of the game being played, the cost of each ticket, etc.

Preferably, the tickets are also issued from the same side of the machine as the one in front of which the customer stands, as it is recited in some of the claims below.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, multiple windows are provided in a single unit, and a plurality of different tickets for different lottery games is provided. The customer has a choice of different games he or she can play, thus adding further interest and excitement to the playing process, and further increasing ticket sales.

In the sale of tickets, the movement of the tickets past the window has a special, synergistic effect in that it arouses the interest and purchasing proclivity of the customer, and also gives assurance of the reliable dispensing of lottery tickets.

The Examiner has indicated that claims 11, 12, 29 through 33, 38 through 40, 43 through 45 and 47 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Those claims have now been rewritten in independent form, incorporating the limitations of all the preceding claims, in the manner requested by the Examiner, and are therefore believed to be allowable. Their allowance is respectfully requested.

The rejection of claims 23, 24, 36, 46 and 48 as being unpatentable over Groves is respectfully traversed. Each of those claims now calls for a window through which the tickets are displayed and past which the tickets are moved. Therefore, for the reasons given above, and those to be given below, these claims are allowable.

The rejection of claims 1 through 8, 10, 13, 14, 16 - 18, 22, 25 - 28, 37, 41, 42 and 49 over Groves in view of Knee is respectfully traversed.

These claims not only call for a ticket dispensing machine and, in some claims, a lottery ticket vending machine, with one or more windows, but they also recite the provision of means or a method step to deter the operator from withdrawing from the machine more tickets than he or she has paid for. This is a necessary feature for stand-alone vending machines which is not found in the ticket vending machines of the cited prior art.

Grove does not show a ticket vending machine. Grove merely shows a machine for dispensing a variety of bulky articles from a strip of packages attached together in a string. The solutions to problems in vending bulky articles such as those are not the same as those in dispensing tickets, and particularly, lottery tickets.

Tickets, and particularly lottery tickets, are smooth, flat and sometimes slippery and difficult to feed or to hold against unauthorized withdrawal. These problems are not found with separate packages of bulk goods such as cigarettes, nails, etc. Such items are relatively easy to hold in the machine to prevent them from being withdrawn without proper payment. Such is not the case for tickets. Unless precautions are taken, a customer can simply grasp the end of a ticket string, pull on it and withdraw far more tickets than he or she has paid for.

The Knee reference merely shows a hand-operated peanut dispensing machine. As far as can be seen it is not a vending machine, in that it has no money receiving capabilities. Moreover, it is not electrically powered and a large crank handle must be operated once for every item dispensed. This creates complexities which would make the machine very expensive to build today. Furthermore, the machine would be subject to great wear and tear, and to the destructive capabilities of disgruntled customers. The Knee machine also would be very slow in operation, thus eliminating one of the desired advantages of the ticket vending machine, namely, high-speed dispensing.

Moreover, since the string of peanut bags is not motor-driven, one gets no fascination from the movement of a stream of items past the window similar to that which one gets when viewing tickets such as lottery tickets moving past a window under the force of an automatic electric-powered driving mechanism.

In short, neither of the references here under discussion deals with the problems dealt with by the present invention and neither provides the unique solution to those problems.

The rejection of claims 9, 19 and 34 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Knee and further in view of Awane also is respectfully traversed. These claims are dependent from and allowable with the claims from which they depend. The concept of providing monetary means of exchange receiving equipment is not, by itself, new. However, in combination with

the other features of the invention as claimed in the parent claims discussed above, these claims are patentable.

The rejection of Claim 20 as being unpatentable over Groves, Knee and O'Neil also is respectfully traversed. Claim 20 depends from Claim 16, which has been amended in the manner indicated above. Inclusion on the tickets of bar-coded information selected from the specific groups of information specified in Claim 20 certainly is not anticipated or suggested by O'Neil. This information is specific to the ticket vending system and method under discussion, and is not suggested by any one reference or a combination of those references.

Rejections of Claims 21 and 35 over Groves, Knee and Cedrone also is respectfully traversed. The Cedrone reference is directed to a problem which is different from that of the present invention. Cedrone does not teach the method and system recited in Claims 21 and 35 in that Cedrone does not relate to ticket vending machines and does not relate to the specific problems found in ticket vending machines and methods. For example, Claim 21 recites the step of providing a plurality of ticket vending machines in a particular location and selecting one of the machines to be a master and the other to be slaves and communicating data regarding the operation of the master and slave units through the master unit. As applied to ticket vending machines, this saves considerably on the cost of alternate communications systems, avoids the necessity for manual


servicing at frequent intervals, and provides major maintenance cost advantages.

Apparatus Claim 35 has the same advantages and is patentable over the references for the same reasons as Claim 21.

In view of the foregoing, the claims have been distinguished from the cited references and are believed to be allowable.

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the application be allowed and passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Gregor N. Neff  
Registration No. 20,596  
Attorney for Applicant  
530 Fifth Avenue  
Curtis, Morris & Safford, P.C.  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 840-3333

c:\wp51\bam\amen.1390



*Part of #6*  
Sheet 1 of 1

**MAIL ROOM**  
JUN 24 1989  
Patent Form 50-1449  
TRADEMARK

LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT  
(Use several sheets if necessary)

ATTY. DOCKET NO.  
3390-2030

SERIAL NO.  
07/312,111

APPLICANT  
Robert L. Burr, et al.

FILING DATE  
February 17, 1989

GROUP 311

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
DHB	AA	4,738,384	April 30, 1988	Tigner	—	—	—
DHB	AB	4,858,806	August 22, 1989	Schafer	—	—	—
DHB	AC	4,982,337	January 1, 1991	Burr et al.	—	—	—
	AD						
	AE						
	AF						
	AG						
	AH						
	AI						
	AJ						
	AK						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	TRANSLATION
							YES NO
	AL						
	AM						
	AN						
	AO						
	AP						


OTHER PRIOR ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

	AR	Public Gaming International magazine, dated November, 1989, page 10
	AS	
	AT	

EXAMINER *D. Bollinger*

DATE CONSIDERED *9/13/91*

## HIGH QUALITY INSTANT TICKET DISPENSERS



OUR DISPENSERS  
ARE USED BY 15 U.S.  
LOTTERIES AND  
RETAIL AGENTS IN  
ALL U.S. LOTTERY  
JURISDICTIONS

### TAKE-A-TICKET, INC.

1035 NORTH ALBANY ROAD

• ALBANY, OREGON 97321 •

(503) 967-0433

31X Bollinger

311  
#7  
8-29-91



PATENT  
3390-2030

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Robert L. Burr et al.  
Serial No. : 07/312,111  
Filed : February 17, 1989  
For : TICKET DISPENSING MACHINE AND METHOD  
Group No. : 311

530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 840-3333

August 21, 1991

RECEIVED  
AUG 28 1991

I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being deposited with the United States  
Postal Service as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231, on August 21, 1991

Gregor N. Neff, Esq.  
Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered  
Representative  
*Gregor Neff*  
Signature  
August 21, 1991  
Date of Signature

FILING OF FORMAL DRAWINGS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are six (6) sheets of formal drawings  
to be made of record in the above-identified patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

*Gregor Neff*  
Gregor N. Neff  
Registration No. 20,596  
Attorney for Applicant

3/2/11  
311  
10/2/05






FIG. 1










FIG. 7



3/2/11

FIG. 8






FIG. 9



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/312,111	02/17/89	BURR	R 33902030

EXAMINER	
BOLLINGER, D	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
311	8

DATE MAILED: 10/11/91

GREGOR N. NEFF  
C/O CURTIS, MORRIS & SAFFORD  
530 FIFTH AVENUE  
NEW YORK, NY 10036

This is a continuation from the examiner in charge of your application.  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADE MARKS

☒ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 27 June 1991 ☒ This action is made final.  
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), \_\_\_\_\_ days from the date of this letter.  
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

## Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-802.        | 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-848.       |
| 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1448.  | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152 |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____   |

## Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-49 are pending in the application.  
Of the above, claims \_\_\_\_\_ are withdrawn from consideration.
2. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ have been cancelled.
3. ☒ Claims 11, 12, 29-32, 38-45 and 47 are allowed.
4. ☒ Claims 1-10, 13, 14, 16-28, 34-37, 46, 48 and 49 are rejected.
5. ☒ Claims 15 and 33 are objected to.
6. ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. ☐ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9. ☒ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on 26 Aug. 1991. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☒ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-848).
10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_\_\_\_\_, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed \_\_\_\_\_, has been ☐ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).
12. ☐ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☐ been received ☐ not been received  
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. \_\_\_\_\_; filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 483 O.G. 213.
14. ☐ Other

## EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-328 (Rev. 8-88)

Serial No. 312111

-2-

Art Unit 311

1. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 lines 5-8, it is not clearly understood whether there is a single means for moving the strip of tickets and dispensing them or if there are means for moving the strip and means for dispensing the tickets. It would appear to be more accurate and clear to recite separate means for these functions since that is what is disclosed.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out

Serial No. 312111

-3-

Art Unit 311

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

4. Claims 23-28, 36, 37, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves.

Groves teaches a article vending machine comprising: a housing 20; display means (unnumbered) for display of the types of articles available; means 50 for receiving and accepting a means of monetary exchange; and means for dispensing the articles in a number corresponding to the amount of money input to the machine. Further, the arrays of articles in Groves comprise a web of articles separated at intervals by lines of perforations and that such articles may be a variety of articles.

Groves fails to teach the articles being lottery tickets and providing the machine with a message display advertising the articles.

The specific articles being lottery tickets is considered an obvious matter of choice and the provision of advertising displays on a vending machine is notoriously well known in the art.

5. Claims 1-8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 22 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves as applied to claims 23-28, 36, 37, 46 and 48 above, and further in view of Knee '935.

Groves fails to teach the display means being windows past

Serial No. 31211.1

-4-

Art Unit 311

which the articles are transported to be viewed while dispensing. Groves further fails to teach separating means for separating the articles from one another.

Knee '935 teaches display means comprising a window allowing for viewing of the articles and their movement for dispensing. Knee '935 also teaches providing separating means comprising bursting means 51, 54, 65 to insure positive separation of articles from one another.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ windows to view the articles as the display means in Groves and to provide separating means in Groves to insure positive separation of the articles from one another for dispensing.

6. Claims 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Knee '935 as applied to claims 1-8, 10, 13, 14, 16-18, 22 and 49 above, and further in view of Awane et al.

Groves in view of Knee '935 fails to show the monetary exchange means selected from currency detector and a credit card reader and the specific means for receiving and accepting the monetary exchange means.

Awane et al teaches providing a vending machine with means for receiving and accepting currency having means to display the amount of credit due the customer and reducing the amount due the

Serial No. 312111

-5-

Art Unit 311

customer corresponding to the number of articles dispensed.

In view of the teachings of Awane et al, it would have been obvious to one having to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the vending machine of Groves with means for receiving and accepting a monetary exchange means having display means to display the credit due a customer.

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Knee '935 as applied to claims 1-8, 10, 11, 14, 16-18, 22 and 49 above, and further in view of O'Neil et al.

Groves in view of Knee '935 fails to teach providing a bar code on the articles and a bar code reader positioned to read the bar code as they are dispensed.

O'Neil et al teaches providing in a vending machine a bar code 102 on each article to be vended to provide information with regard to the article and a bar coded reader positioned within the machine to read the bar code as the articles are vended.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Groves vending machine with bar codes on the articles and bar code readers to provide information regarding the articles as they are dispensed.

8. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves in view of Knee '935 as applied to claims 1-8, 10, 11, 14, 16-18, 22 and 49 above, and further in

Serial No. 31211.

-6-

Art Unit 311

view of Cedrone et al.

Groves in view of Knee '935 fails to teach providing a plurality of vending machines and communicating data regarding operation to a central location.

Cedrone et al teaches providing a group of vending machines and communicating data regarding operation of the machine to a central location.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide plural machines of Groves-Knee '935 and communicate data regarding their operation to a central location in view of the teaching of Cedrone et al.

9. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves as applied to claims 23-28, 36, 37, 46 and 48 above, and further in view of Awane et al.

Awane et al as applied above in paragraph 8.

10. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Groves as applied to claims 23-28, 36, 37, 46 and 48 above, and further in view of Cedrone et al.

Cedrone et al as applied above in paragraph 8.

11. Claims 15 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. Claims 11, 18, 29-32, 38-45 and 47 are allowable over the

Serial No. 312111

-7-

Art Unit 311

prior art of record.

13. Applicant's arguments filed 27 June 1991 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Bollinger at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

Bollinger:np  
September 16, 1991  
October 08, 1991

*David H. Bollinger*  
DAVID H. BOLLINGER 10/11/91  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 310



PTO FORM 944  
(REV. 9-89)U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

ATTACHMENT TO PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NUMBER

8

312111

## NOTICE OF DRAFTSMAN'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW

THE PTO DRAFTSMEN REVIEW ALL ORIGINALLY FILED DRAWINGS REGARDLESS  
OF WHETHER THEY WERE DESIGNATED AS INFORMAL OR FORMAL.

The drawings filed

8/20/91

A. ☐ are approved.B. ☒ are objected to under 37 CFR 1.84 for the reason(s) checked below. The examiner will require submission of new, corrected drawings at the appropriate time. Corrected drawings must be submitted according to the instructions listed on the back of this Notice.

1. Paper and ink. 37 CFR 1.84(a)

☒ Sheet(s) Fig 2, 5, 6, 7 Poor. *copier marks*

2. Size of Sheet and Margins. 37 CFR 1.84(b)

Acceptable Paper Sizes and Margins

Margin	Paper Size		
	8 1/2 by 14 inches	8 1/2 by 13 inches	DN size A4 21 by 29.7 cm.
Top	2 inches	1 inch	2.5 cm.
Left	1 1/4 inch	1 1/4 inch	2.5 cm.
Right	1 1/4 inch	1 1/4 inch	1.5 cm.
Bottom	1 1/4 inch	1 1/4 inch	1.0 cm.

☐ Proper Size Paper Required.  
All Sheets Must be Same Size.  
Sheet(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Proper Margins Required.  
Sheet(s) \_\_\_\_\_
☐ TOP      ☐ RIGHT  
☐ LEFT      ☐ BOTTOM

3. Character of Lines. 37 CFR 1.84(c)

☐ Lines Pale or Rough and Illegible.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Solid Black Shading Not Allowed.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

4. Hatching and Shading. 37 CFR 1.84(d)

☐ Shade Lines are Required.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Criss-Cross Hatching Not Allowed.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Double Line Hatching Not Allowed.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Parts in Section Must be Hatched.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

5. Reference Characters. 37 CFR 1.84(f)

☐ Reference Characters Poor or Incorrectly Sized.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Reference Characters Placed Incorrectly.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_

6. Views. 37 CFR 1.84(i) &amp; (j)

☐ Figures Must be Numbered Properly.  
\_\_\_\_\_☐ Figures Must Not be Connected.  
Fig(s) \_\_\_\_\_7. ☐ Photographs Not Approved.  
\_\_\_\_\_8. ☐ Other.  
\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone inquiries concerning this review should be directed to the Chief Draftsman at telephone number (703) 557-6404.

  
 Reviewing Draftsman

8/30/91  
 Date



55<sup>00</sup>  
215

311

PATENT  
3390-2030

#9  
Ext. of  
Tol  
(110)  
3-2-92

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Robert L. Burr, et al  
Serial No. : 07/312,111  
Filed : February 17, 1989  
For : TICKET DISPENSER MACHINE AND METHOD  
Group Art Unit : 311  
Examiner : D. Bollinger

530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10016

I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being deposited with the United States  
Postal Service as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to:  
Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231, on Feb 21 1992

Gregor W. Neff  
Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered  
Representative  
*Gregor W. Neff*  
Signature  
Date of Signature

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)  
FOR SMALL ENTITY

Hon. commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Notice of Appeal in the  
above-identified application. The Notice of Appeal is being  
filed within the first month and it is thereby requested that the  
term be extended accordingly. The fee of \$55.00 for the request  
of one month extension of time is paid herewith.

080 KJ 02/28/92 07312111


1 215 55.00 CK

CLERK, GROUP 310  
*W. J. [Signature]*  
TO  
EXTENSION OF TIME GRANTED  
under 37 CFR 1.196(a)  
FEE APPLIED

Please charge any additional fees incurred by reason of  
this response, or credit any over-payment, to Deposit Account No.  
03-3925.

Respectfully submitted,

By

  
Gregor N. Neff  
Registration No. 20,996  
Attorney for Applicant  
530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 840-3333



130.00  
49

311

PATENT  
3390-2030

#10  
3-25-92

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Robert L. Burr, et al.  
Serial No. : 07/312,111  
Filed : February 17, 1989  
For : TICKET DISPENSER MACHINE AND METHOD  
Group Art Unit : 311  
Examiner : D. Bollinger

530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10016

I hereby certify that this correspondence  
is being deposited with the United States  
Postal Service as first class mail in an  
envelope addressed to:  
Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231, on February 11, 1992

Gregor H. Hoff  
Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered  
Representative  
  
Signature  
Date of Signature

RECEIVED  
MAR-2 1992  
GROUP 311

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicant hereby appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals  
and Interferences from the decision of the Primary Examiner dated  
October 11, 1991.

Claims 11, 12, 29-32, 38-45 and 47 stand allowed.

Applicant appeals from the rejection of Claims 1-10, 13-28, 33-  
37, 46, 48 and 49.

080 KJ 02/28/92 07312111

1 219 130.00 Ch

A check is enclosed to cover the \$130.00 small entity appeal fee required by 37 C.F.R. §1.17(e).


A petition for a one month extension of time and a check of \$55.00 for the applicable extension fee also is enclosed.

Please charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment for this application to Deposit Account No. 03-3925.

Respectfully submitted,

CURTIS, MORRIS & SAFFORD, P.C.

By

  
Gregor N. Neff Esq.  
Registration No. 20,596  
(212) 840-3333

\$ 405.00 - 217 - Pg 3/11



RECEIVED

3390-2030  
7/10/92

1992 JUL 23 PM 1:06

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants : Robert L. Burr, et al.  
Serial No. : 07/312,111  
Filed : February 17, 1989  
For : TICKET DISPENSER MACHINE AND METHOD  
Examiner : D. Bollinger  
Art Unit : 311

*Req for  
time  
of White  
7/10/92*

*#11*

530 Fifth Avenue  
New York, New York 10036  
(212) 840-3333

*7wc to A*

EXPRESS MAIL

Mailing Label Number 8852328 19215  
Date of Deposit July 10, 1992  
I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being  
deposited with the United States Postal Service  
"Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Service  
under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and  
is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and  
Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

Harry Bates  
(Typed or printed name of person  
mailing paper or fee)  
Harry Bates  
(Signature of person mailing paper or fee)

*FILED UNDER 37 CFR 1.10  
JUL 21 1992  
D. White*

Hon. Commissioner  
of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Sir:


Under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136.(a), applicant  
hereby petitions for an extension of time to file an Appeal Brief  
due July 11, 1992 in the above-identified application.

The requested extension of time is three months, i.e., to  
July 11, 1992 and applicant encloses herewith a check in the amount  
070 M6 07/20/92 07312111

1 217 405.00 CK

of \$405.00 in payment of the statutory fee therefor. Please charge  
any additional fees or credit any excess to our Deposit Account No.  
03-3925.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Gregor N. Neff, Esq.  
Registration No. 20,596  
Curtis, Morris & Safford, P.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant  
(212) 840-3333

Enclosures

- Check (three month extension of time)
- Filing fee
- Claims fee

GN6\2039 CIP


**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

 Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
07/312,111	02/ 7/89	BURG	R 33902030

 GREGOR N. NEFF  
 C/O CURTIS, MORRIS & SAFFORD  
 530 FIFTH AVENUE  
 NEW YORK, NY 10016

EXAMINER	
BOLLINGER, D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3101	12

DATE MAILED:

08/06/92

**NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT**

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☐ Applicant's failure to respond to the Office letter, mailed \_\_\_\_\_.
2. ☒ Applicant's letter of express abandonment which is in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.138, *as per FWC.*
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file the responses received \_\_\_\_\_ within the period set in the Office letter.
4. ☐ Applicant's failure to pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of 3 months from the mailing date of \_\_\_\_\_ of the Notice of Allowance.
  - ☐ The issue fee was received on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ The issue fee has not been received in Allowed File Branch as of \_\_\_\_\_.

In accordance with 38 U.S.C. 151, and under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.316(b), applicant(s) may petition the Commissioner to accept the delayed payment of the issue fee if the delay in payment was unavoidable. The petition must be accompanied by the issue fee, unless it has been previously submitted, in the amount specified by 37 C.F.R. 1.17 (i), and a verified showing as to the cause of the delay.

If applicant(s) never received the Notice of Allowance, a petition for a new Notice of Allowance and withdrawal of the holding of abandonment may be appropriate in view of *Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler*, 172 U.S.P.Q. 513.
5. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely correct the drawings and/or submit new or substitute formal drawings by \_\_\_\_\_ as required in the last Office action.
  - ☐ The corrected and/or substitute drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_.
6. ☐ The reason(s) below.

*David H. Bollinger*  
 DAVID H. BOLLINGER  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER  
 GROUP 310

PTO-1432 (REV. 5-89)



FORM PTO-447A (REV. 1-85)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		1. REQUEST DATE 5/14/89	2. SERIAL NO. 312111
APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST					
3. TO GROUP ART UNIT 235	4. EXAMINER (if known)	5. TRANSFER IS REQUESTED FROM 221	CLASS 221	TO	CLASS/SUB 235/31R
6. REASON(S) (give details where possible) Claims are directed to a ticket dispenser wherein the dispenser has a bar code reader for reading a bar code on a ticket.					
DISPOSITION OF APPLICATION BY RECEIVING GROUP ART UNIT			7. EXAMINER Ammeen	8. GROUP ART UNIT 311	
9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACCEPTED <input type="checkbox"/> NOT ACCEPTED		<input type="checkbox"/> FORWARDED TO THE FOLLOWING D.C. DIV.		BY (Examiner) Zuber	DATE 4/26/89
10. REASON(S)					
DISPOSITION OF APPLICATION BY DOCUMENTATION					
11. <input type="checkbox"/> TRANSFER NOT APPROVED, RETURN TO ORIGINATING GROUP		<input type="checkbox"/> TRANSFER APPROVED FORWARDED TO: →		GROUP ART UNIT	CLASS/SUB
12. REASON(S) <input type="checkbox"/> AS SET FORTH BY ORIGINATING GROUP. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER:					
13. CLASSIFIER	14. DOCUMENTATION DIV.	15. DATE	16. CONCURRING CLASSIFIER		

FORM PTO-878 REV. 1-88	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	SERIAL NO <b>312111</b>	FILED DATE <b>2/17/89</b>
PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD		APPLICANT (FIRST NAME) <b>Burn, R.L.</b>	

## CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

FOR	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA
BASIC FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	<b>49</b>	<b>24</b>
INDEP. CLAIMS	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS PRESENT		

\* If the difference in col. 1 is less than 20%, enter "0" in col. 2

## SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE
	\$170
X20-	\$174
X17-	\$34
X26-	\$
TOTAL	\$328

OTHER THAN A  
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE
	\$340
X12-	\$
X24-	\$
X110-	\$
TOTAL	\$

## CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

AMENDMENT A	(1)	(2)	(3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
TOTAL	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>—</b>
INDEP.	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIMS			

## SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
	\$
X5-	\$
X10-	\$
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$

OTHER THAN A  
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
	\$
X10-	\$
X30-	\$
X100-	\$
TOTAL	\$

AMENDMENT B	(1)	(2)	(3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
TOTAL			
INDEP.			
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIMS			

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
	\$
X5-	\$
X10-	\$
X30-	\$
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
	\$
X10-	\$
X30-	\$
X100-	\$
TOTAL	\$

AMENDMENT C	(1)	(2)	(3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
TOTAL			
INDEP.			
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIMS			

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
	\$
X5-	\$
X10-	\$
X30-	\$
TOTAL ADDIT. FEE	\$

RATE	ADDIT. FEE
	\$
X10-	\$
X30-	\$
X100-	\$
TOTAL	\$

\* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, enter "0" in Col. 3  
 \*\* If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" in THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20"  
 \*\*\* If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" in THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".  
 The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1

[illegible]

# INDEX OF CLAIMS

Claim		Date	
Filed	Original		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34			
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			

Claim		Date	
Filed	Original		
51			
52			
53			
54			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			
61			
62			
63			
64			
65			
66			
67			
68			
69			
70			
71			
72			
73			
74			
75			
76			
77			
78			
79			
80			
81			
82			
83			
84			
85			
86			
87			
88			
89			
90			
91			
92			
93			
94			
95			
96			
97			
98			
99			
100			

SYMBOLS  
 ..... Deleted  
 o ..... Added  
 o (through number) Deleted  
 ..... Amended  
 ..... Re-amended  
 ..... Appeal  
 ..... Repealed

SEARCH NOTES		
	Date	Exmr.

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED			
Class	Sub.	Date	Exmr.

312111

APPROVED FOR LICENSE ☐

INITIALS FEB 24 8916

GROUP 310

Entered  
or  
Counted

## CONTENTS

Received  
or  
Mailed

1.	Application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> papers.	
2.	<i>My King Letter</i>	<i>Q-12-90</i>
3.	<i>12/3/91</i>	<i>FEB 11 1991</i>
4.	<i>Exp. of Time (imo)</i>	<i>June 27, 1991 / 2-11-91</i>
5.	<i>Pres. Act</i>	<i>June 27, 1991</i>
6.	<i>Contt. A &amp; Act</i>	<i>June 27, 1991</i>
7.	<i>formal prange (4 sheets)</i>	<i>Aug. 26, 1991</i>
8.	<i>Small by BM</i>	<i>OCT 11 1991</i>
9.	<i>Exp. of Time (imo) (m24-92)</i>	<i>Feb 21, 1992 / 2-11-92</i>
10.	<i>Notice of Appeal (m24-92)</i>	<i>Feb 21, 1992</i>
11.	<i>Req. for Time</i>	<i>July 10, 1992 / 7-21-92</i>
12.	<i>Notice of Abandonment</i>	<i>Aug 3, 1992</i>
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
18.		
19.		
20.		
21.		
22.		
23.		
24.		
25.		
26.		
27.		
28.		
29.		
30.		
31.		
32.		